

facts are the best of all comments on the arguments which Lord GURR and Lord KIMBERLEY advanced on behalf of the Governor. These arguments mainly resolve themselves into the plea that the Colonies must be better judges than the Colonial Office of LANGALIBALELE's guilt. But Lord CARNARVON's reply was that if the Colonial Secretary must always be guided by the advice of the Colonial Governors, Imperial control becomes a mere mockery, and the tie between the Colonies and the mother country an empty form. Some go further, and deny the proposition that the Colonists must be the best judges of the case. It is concluded that they were struck by a panic, and that in a panic the ablest men may take leave of their sober sense. It may be true that LANGALIBALELE was a reckless or even a dangerous neighbour, but where is the evidence of it? The Colonial Government itself has admitted that, before the day respecting the registration of the guns, he had done nothing which would have afforded the faintest pretext for punishment. To have chastised him merely because he was suspected of disloyalty would have been unjust. He must be judged, then, by the light of the specific acts in the indictment, and there is not one of them which, as Lord CARNARVON says, might not have been met by a measure of police. He did wrong to disobey the summons that he should attend the Governor, and he deserved to be punished; but a fine would have sufficed to satisfy justice. He may have done wrong in removing his people and docks from British territory without the consent of the Government; although that point is not free from doubt. At any rate, a heavy fine would again have been a sufficient penalty. With some indulgence the Lord Chancellor protested against the monstrous charge that LANGALIBALELE could be held responsible for the fatal shots which some of his people fired in the Bushman's Pass. As he was leading his tribe, not against the British forces, but away from them, it cannot fairly be assumed that he had planned an attack; and, since he himself was at a distance from the scene, he should not be held answerable for the madness of his young men. The Colonial authorities, it is true, have contended that the principles of Hafir law make a Chief responsible for all the acts of his tribe. That is a good general rule, because it rests on the assumption that a Chief is generally cognisant of all his followers' doings. Not to apply it to every case, and to contend that he must answer with his liberty or his life for acts of which he may be absolutely guiltless, is not reasonable. There was in fact, no count in the indictment which would have been a warrant for a severe punishment. As for the trial, no one was bold enough last night to sing its praises. Lord BENTINCK, who tried to throw the mantle of his learning over the cause of his friends, spoke of the tribunal with indignation and contempt as a "legal Court, and he could only suggest that it might perhaps be defended on political grounds. He did not say that he himself would defend it, even on such a basis, and he made no secret of his own sympathies. In the present case the duty of England is clear. One of our Colonies has committed a wrong which we cannot condone, be the cost what it may. If the Cape will not repeal the Act of Parliament by which LANGALIBALELE is held in Robben Island, we cannot help it. The honour of this great country and the principles of justice alike forbid us to flinch from a protest against a grave wrong.

The French to-day clearly realise the remoter consequences of their defeat in the last war. Instead of lecturing they are lectured. They make excess instead of nitpicking thesis. The centre of disturbance is at Berlin, and the professions of good conduct now issue from Paris. All the French journals, with evident sincerity, declare loudly that France must preserve peace, and that she has no thought of war. Our paper goes so far as to express itself "sally-cocked with the锐利的锋芒 of the frondeurs from the Rhine. There can hardly be a shadow of doubt that this represents, in the main, the general feeling of the people. Were there a *political* call to a new war, there would hardly be found ten lunatics at large in all the country to vote hostility with Germany within the next five years. Taxation presses heavily. The closer measures and wider areas of the conscription act has proved locally vexatious, and has given to the Army some wretched recruits. The mild and moderate Republican majority, having for its leaders all civilians, has no possible interest in immediate war. Even the Alsatians, though smarting under a harsh German régime, are fully aware of the painful fact that the first horrors of a new campaign would desolate their soil; they would "come between the shock of two increased and mighty opposites." It may be asked, if such are the feelings of France, why is the new Army larger than the old? Why are so many soldiers passed through the barracks mill in such a short time? Why is the effective and reserve force of the nation creeping up to something near the figure attained by Germany before the war? The simple answer to this is that France is doing now what she ought to have done before 1870: she is strong not a class, but the manhood of the nation, and keeping in reserve and ready every man drilled. Prussia began the game; Austria and Russia have followed it. Unless France is willing to be low for ever, and to accept the position of a second-class Power, she, with her tempting soil and climate, her wealth, her commerce, her fine arts, must be strong enough at home to resist any unwarrantable aggression which her prosperity and disarmed condition would invite. The world has not been changed so completely as to make it safe for any nation to depend on the goodwill of its neighbours. Even if the dreams of revenge were for ever rejected, French statesmen may reasonably say, "We never know when we may be attacked, or asked to tolerate some injury; therefore we must be strong, at all events, for defence." As to the rapid training and early dismissal of the conscripts, it is due partly to a policy like that which, after Jena, enabled Prussia to prepare a population for war, as well as to the necessities of the Finance Minister, who prefers to give furloughs in order to avoid the cost of maintaining the full complement of troops.

No doubt it is impossible for Frenchmen to forget that the future may bring them opportunities of revenge. But the naturalness of this feeling has been admitted by Prince BISMARCK himself. He declared that, no matter what might be the terms of peace, there would certainly be another great war, and that Germany took Metz and Strasbourg in view of that inevitable event. If, even under milder treatment, France would have prepared revenge, what can be expected now when she remembers that she was terribly mutilated and molested of an enormous ransom? It is not in human nature to expect that she can speedily forgive; and, if she is allowed an Army at all, she must indulge in day-dreams of victory again saluting the Tricolour she so faithfully loves. Prince BISMARCK ought to remember that he put it out of his own power to complain. He pressed the Treaty at the time because it did not provide a basis for future disputes. NAPOLEON I imposed on the King of PRUSSIA successive stipulations which gave the Emperor a right to interfere continually in his internal affairs, and the Allies after Waterloo not only dictated the policy of the Tuilleries, but kept a garrison in French fortresses at French expense for nearly three years. Prince BISMARCK boasted that he made no such stipulations; he did not like NAPOLEON, but the army of the vanquished, nor did he, like the Allies, dictate measures or impose garrisons—excepting by way of taking security for the capital ransom. He cut away the two provinces, and he exacted a heavy fine; but he allowed the diminished and despised France perfect freedom in the arrangement of its own affairs. Why now should he give instructions to his soldiers to utter irritating complaints? Nothing is more natural than a certain amount of feverish hope in the heart of France. Here is a nation with a glorious past, but the sun latterly set down. Other Powers have suffered great catastrophes; none so ill fitted by temperament to bear them well. The

THE DAILY TELEGRAPH

MONEY MARKET.

WEDNESDAY EVENING.

There has been less animation on the Stock Exchange to-day, partly through the attention devoted to the arrangement of the account, and a few sales were sufficient to cause a partial reprieve. The advices from the Continent were nevertheless good, and the Paris Bourse remains steady. The decline here was chiefly in Italian, Spanish, Mexican and Turkish Bonds, and it in no case exceeded 1. Some of the Egyptian issues were weaker, but the 1873 Loan was exceptionally firm at an improvement. Peruvian fluctuated considerably, and at the close the Six per Centa. was a trifle lower than last evening's quotations. The Five per Centa. were unaltered. The American market on the other hand was buoyant, and the speculative railway descriptions advanced. The chief movement was in Erie, in response to the activity manifested from New York, where gambling seems at present to be rampant, threatening wide fluctuations. There is no reason to suppose that persons on this side will escape the contagion, and apparently the evil must be left to run its course, unless it should prove that the rise at New York is connected with the design to prepare the market for another issue of bonds here, in which case the necessity of publishing some authoritative statement as to the conditions of the line must be constantly insisted upon. It is the more necessary to bear this in mind as accounts have now come to hand of a further default in the payment of interest on guaranteed bonds by a leading railway corporation in the States, for which the only excuse appears to be financial pressure. The extent to which all the concern lines still suffer from the effects of the late panic has yet to be disclosed. English Railways Stocks to-day, after showing some activity, relaxed shortly before the close, on unfavourable traffic returns, and in nearly every case the last prices were lower than yesterday. The duller were Great Western and North-Eastern, the former being depressed from the opening by the intelligence from the South Wales district, where, it is stated, the colliers, so far from being disposed to give way, declare their determination to hold out until September. The falling-off in the Great Western traffic last week was considerable; but it was also marked in the case of North-Eastern, North-Western, and even Midland.

The Funds have been rather buoyant. Bonds for money improved 1 to 3½; and for May 1st, to 9½. The Three and Reduced Annuites also advanced 1 to 2½, 2½; Annuites, 1885, were 7½; Exchange Bills, 2½ days, 30 prem.; India Five per Centa., 1884, 10½; ditto Four per Centa., 1884, 1; ditto Four per Centa., 92, 2½; ditto Four and a Half per Centa., 95, 9½; ditto Five and a Half per Centa., 100, 10½; Debentures, 1870, 100; ditto Bonds, 12½ prem.; Bank Stock, 25; ditto Consols of London Bonds, 1881, 10½, 10½; and Metropolitan Three and a Half per Centa., 95, 9½.

Foreign Stocks underwent few changes of importance. Bolivian improved 1; Egyptian, 1½, 1½; French Three per Centa., 1; ditto Five per Centa., 1; Portuguese, 1; Russian Five per Centa., 1871, 3½; Turkish Six per Centa., 1880, 3; ditto Nine per Centa. C Bonds, 1; United States 5-20 Bonds, 1882 issue, 2; ditto, 1883, 2½; ditto, 1887, 1; and ditto Faded Five per Centa., 1; ditto Six per Cent. Stock, receded 1; Egyptian, 1885, 1½; Honduras, both issues, 1; Italian, 1; Mexican, 2; Peruvian Six per Centa., 1; Russian Five per Centa., 1872, 1; Spanish, 1; Turkish Five per Centa., 1872, 1; ditto Six per Centa., 1880, 1; and ditto, 1873, 1. The following is a list of latest prices. The transactions marked in the official list are placed within brackets.

Argentina 1 p.c. 1882 [1883] Mexican 2 p.c. 185, 16½
Brazil 6 p.c. 1882 [1883] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 10½
Bolivia 6 p.c. 1872 [1882] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7, 8
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1882 [1883] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1883 [1884] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1884 [1885] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1885 [1886] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1886 [1887] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1887, 7, 9½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1888 [1889] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1889 [1890] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1890 [1891] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1891 [1892] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1892 [1893] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1893 [1894] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1894 [1895] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1895 [1896] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1896 [1897] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1897 [1898] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1898 [1899] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1899 [1900] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1900 [1901] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1901 [1902] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1902 [1903] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1903 [1904] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1904 [1905] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1905 [1906] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1906 [1907] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1907 [1908] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1908 [1909] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1909 [1910] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1910 [1911] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1911 [1912] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1912 [1913] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1913 [1914] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1914 [1915] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1915 [1916] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1916 [1917] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1917 [1918] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1918 [1919] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1919 [1920] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1920 [1921] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1921 [1922] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1922 [1923] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1923 [1924] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1924 [1925] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1925 [1926] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1926 [1927] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1927 [1928] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1928 [1929] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1929 [1930] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1930 [1931] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1931 [1932] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1932 [1933] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1933 [1934] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1934 [1935] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1935 [1936] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1936 [1937] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1937 [1938] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1938 [1939] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1939 [1940] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1940 [1941] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1941 [1942] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1942 [1943] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1943 [1944] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1944 [1945] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1945 [1946] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1946 [1947] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1947 [1948] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1948 [1949] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1949 [1950] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1950 [1951] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1951 [1952] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1952 [1953] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1953 [1954] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1954 [1955] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1955 [1956] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1956 [1957] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1957 [1958] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1958 [1959] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1959 [1960] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1960 [1961] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1961 [1962] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1962 [1963] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1963 [1964] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1964 [1965] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1965 [1966] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1966 [1967] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1967 [1968] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1968 [1969] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1969 [1970] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1970 [1971] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1971 [1972] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1972 [1973] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1973 [1974] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1974 [1975] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1975 [1976] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1976 [1977] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1977 [1978] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1978 [1979] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1979 [1980] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1980 [1981] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1981 [1982] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1982 [1983] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1983 [1984] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1984 [1985] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1985 [1986] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1986 [1987] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1987 [1988] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1988 [1989] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1989 [1990] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1990 [1991] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1991 [1992] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1992 [1993] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1993 [1994] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1994 [1995] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1995 [1996] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1996 [1997] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1997 [1998] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1998 [1999] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 1999 [2000] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2000 [2001] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2001 [2002] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2002 [2003] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2003 [2004] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2004 [2005] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2005 [2006] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2006 [2007] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2007 [2008] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2008 [2009] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2009 [2010] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2010 [2011] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2011 [2012] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2012 [2013] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2013 [2014] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2014 [2015] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2015 [2016] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2016 [2017] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2017 [2018] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2018 [2019] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2019 [2020] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2020 [2021] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2021 [2022] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2022 [2023] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2023 [2024] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2024 [2025] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2025 [2026] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2026 [2027] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2027 [2028] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2028 [2029] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2029 [2030] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2030 [2031] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2031 [2032] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2032 [2033] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2033 [2034] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2034 [2035] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2035 [2036] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2036 [2037] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2037 [2038] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2038 [2039] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2039 [2040] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2040 [2041] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2041 [2042] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2042 [2043] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2043 [2044] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2044 [2045] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2045 [2046] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2046 [2047] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2047 [2048] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2048 [2049] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2049 [2050] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2050 [2051] Do. 6 p.c. 1884, 7½
Bolivia 7½ p.c. 2051

